



These People Claim UFO Artifacts are Held by the Government and/or Contractors

S

NOVEMBER 15, 2019

COMMENTS 11



So much has been talked about [Metamaterials](#).

(<https://silvarecord.wordpress.com/2019/01/26/metamaterials-not-from-earth/>). Metamaterials can be in the form of UFO pieces, UFO debris, technological hardware and theoretically not excluding even implants (in bodies) and whole craft. To the Stars Academy of Arts and Science (TTSA), Jacques Vallee and others claim to possess samples, or at the least, are testing samples to see if they are authentic and anomalous. Dr. Garry Nolan, [who used to work with TTSA](#) (<https://silvarecord.wordpress.com/2019/10/23/has-dr-corm-kelleher-exited-ttasa/>), and also works with Jacques Vallee coined the term Metamaterials to be used in this regard. (There are other definitions of metamaterials that don't have anything to do with the UFO phenomenon.) Dr. Nolan now says a more accurate term is Ultramaterials. However, the Metamaterials term seems to have stuck.

Many people claim the government and/or contractors, Independent Research and Development activities (IRADs), Special Access Programs (SAPs), Unacknowledged Special Access Programs (USAPs) and other groups possess artifacts. With so many claims of this being the case, and now since the Army is interested in TTSA's research, the legitimization of Metamaterials studies, and possibly even proof, could be right around the corner. Since [TTSA has now entered a Cooperative](#)

Research and Development Agreement (CRADA) with the United States Army

(<https://dpo.tothestarsacademy.com/#news>), they could be eligible for this list, however I will focus on others for now.

Not everyone seems to agree about what kind of conclusions or breakthroughs have been achieved by the government and/or contractors who have the material, but according to the claims of the following people, the government and/or contractors may indeed possess samples. Let's take a look:

The New York Times

The New York Times kicked off this recent era of Metamaterials and UFO debris in Helene Cooper, Ralph Blumenthal and Leslie Kean's article *Glowing Auras and 'Black Money': The Pentagon's Mysterious U.F.O. Program*, when they reported, Bigelow Aerospace, contracted through what we now know was the Advanced Aerospace Weapons System Application Program (AAWSAP) via Bigelow Aerospace Advanced Space Studies (BAASS), stored metal alloys as a DIA contractor:

“Under Mr. Bigelow's direction, the company modified buildings in Las Vegas for the storage of metal alloys and other materials that Mr. Elizondo and program contractors said had been recovered from unidentified aerial phenomena.”

Jacques Vallee

Jacques Vallee doesn't claim that he knows for a fact the government has materials, but says he has heard the stories:

The other area people are very interested of course is Roswell and the so called crashes. There are eight of them that have been documented just around New Mexico in the forties and so on. And there are bits and pieces of data and reports from those, but I don't have access to that. And I hear all the stories, just like you hear all the stories, about *the government having confiscated these devices* and put them in – giving them to – putting them in in the basement of some company, some aerospace company, and that's fine. I'm very skeptical for a number of reasons that you will see that the government knows much more than we do about *what they have*. And in fact this is an area... I mean I believe classified research is legitimate. OK? I'm not against the government and the military doing classified research. However, classified research has certain constraints both in terms of budget, in terms of communicating information obviously, that get in the way of research. So that research is much more difficult in the environment and most of the time it fails. And my research I want to do is open research.



Dr. Diana Pasulka and 'Tyler' from the book "American Cosmic"

"Tyler" is a character in Diana Pasulka's non-fiction book *American Cosmic*. His background is mysterious. Dr. Pasulka wrote:

"There were other rumors that he had worked for several government agencies."

Whether "Tyler" worked for several government agencies or not, Dr. Pasulka said of him:

"I learned that since the age of eighteen he had worked for the US space program, first as an intern and then as an engineer for the space shuttle program."

The reason I am bringing up the background of "Tyler" is to show that I believe he would fit into my criteria as either an employee of the government or an employee of a government contractor/adjacent group, in this case a space program. Dr. Pasulka claims "Tyler" knew of "allegedly" authentic UFO crash site locations and UFO debris/artifacts.

"James and Tyler believed they had evidence, not just faith, to support their belief in the extraterrestrial source of the artifacts and the authenticity of the crash site."

When speaking of "Tyler," herself and another scientist, Pasulka said:

"We were an unlikely team: two scientists who believed they had physical evidence of off-planet intelligence, and a professor of religious studies."

Here is another mention of "Tyler" viewing artifacts at a laboratory:

"Tyler, however, was alone, in his laboratory, with the artifacts, a cigar, and a snifter of brandy."

Dr. Hal Puthoff

Dr. Hal Puthoff is a member of TTSA. During Dr. Puthoff's presentation at the Society for Scientific Exploration (<https://www.scientificexploration.org/>) Conference, he alluded to classified Metamaterial samples:

“So let me give you an example of how this stuff helps people who are chasing these really difficult problems. I'm choosing one here: metamaterials for aerospace use. *I'd love to talk about really fancy materials, but they're classified.* However, there's a lot of materials that have been picked up or provided even in the public domain.”

Luis Elizondo

Elizondo, a member of TTSA, stated that “some very, very senior levels of this government” have been briefed (<https://silvarecord.wordpress.com/2019/03/17/government-briefed-on-metamaterials-ufo-program-alive-well-elizondo/>) not only on UFOs, but metamaterials themselves. Elizondo went on national television with Tucker Carlson and didn't mince words:

Carlson: So let me ask you one last question...Do you believe, based on your decade of serving in the U.S. government on this question, that the U.S. government has in its possession any material from one of these aircrafts?

Elizondo: I do, yes.

Carlson: You think the U.S. government has debris from a UFO in its possession right now?

Elizondo: Unfortunately, Tucker, I really have to be careful of my NDA. I really can't go into a lot more detail than that, but *simply put, yes.*



Tucker Carlson went on to ask President Trump about Luis Elizondo's claim. President Trump denied knowledge of it but the simple fact that Carlson asked the President on national Television was a breakthrough in itself.

Carlson: We spoke to a government official recently who said the U.S. government had wreckage from a UFO in a facility in an Air Force base. Are you familiar with that?

Trump: I haven't heard that, no. I haven't heard that. It has not been, within government, it has not been a big thing, but I've seen it on your show, but I've seen it. I don't assume it's correct, but...you know, I have an open mind, Tucker.

Carlson: Yeah, you do, Mr. President. Thank you for that.

Trump: Now a days you can believe anything, right?



Dr. Eric Davis

After Luis Elizondo's shocking public comments, researcher [James Iandoli](https://www.youtube.com/channel/UCnFc2oM4A60NcG0LCjKoH8g) (<https://www.youtube.com/channel/UCnFc2oM4A60NcG0LCjKoH8g>) asked Dr. Eric Davis about Elizondo's comments. Dr. Davis said this:

"Luis Elizondo's very brief answer to Tucker Carlson's question about whether the US government is in possession of recovered crashed and landed UFO technology hardware is 1,000% accurate. My national security NDAs prevent me from adding any further comment on this."



- Dr. Eric Davis

Chief Science Officer of EarthTech Int'l, Inc. and the Institute for Advanced Studies at Austin

Engaging The **Phenomenon**

Dr. Davis seems to also buy into at least some aspects of the Col. Philip Corso story. That story may have been embellished over time, but nonetheless parts of it may be true. Speaking about Corso, Dr. Davis said this:

“(Corso’s) job as a civilian was to handle the crash retrieval materials and assign them out to the various defense contractors for analysis and reverse engineering study. And so that proved out to be true. And so it’s there. And there have been several other crash retrievals that have happened.”



Among other places, some artifacts may be held in USAPs, SAPs & IRADs.

IRADs, SAPs and USAPs could be some of the places UFO artifacts may be held and at the same time they are incredibly confusing, possibly by design. Although they can be contracted by the government, the amount of oversight and access the government actually has regarding these programs varies and sometimes seems to be not much at all. Just because you are an employee of a government contracted SAP you are not always considered an actual government employee and therefor don't always have to answer to to the government.

Tom DeLonge

During an interview with George Knapp (<https://silvarecord.wordpress.com/2018/10/29/interview-with-george-knapp/>), which is now available on MysteryWire.com (<http://MysteryWire.com>), Tom DeLonge not only spoke of the government possessing crashes and running crash programs, but also where the artifacts may be held, including private industry:

DeLonge: But I know that there were, and I was told, that there were *crashES*. And we took that... probably got pretty freaked out, pretty scared. We scurried it away, created what they call a "pick up game" of counter intelligence to keep people away from asking hard questions that we didn't know the answers to, and we created this crash program much bigger than the Manhattan Project, much bigger than Apollo, to figure out how this stuff worked and how to build our own defense system against it should there be more. And I find that just absolutely fascinating.

Knapp: Is it...can you say, is it within government? Is whatever we have in the purview of government or is it outside or a little bit of both? I mean, there are people in government that know, but a lot of people, or at least some of the key players, are outside the framework of government?

DeLonge: I believe that it is absolutely in government, but I do believe that a lot of this exists in clever ways. I'm not totally sure if it's to keep the secret, probably, but I think it's also to make sure that we get the world's best and brightest talent working on it. When we first starting having... when we first found the vehicles I know we brought in private industry, military, intelligence...a good diverse group of very very elevated thinkers and said, "How do we handle this?" I know a lot of private industry got deeply involved because that's where the talent pool was. And those companies working on those things fall under the department of defense and have to operate like government, but its still under a private corporation where certain laws protect their own breakthroughs and proprietary assets. So, I know in my dealings with the advisors that when I asked them...I asked a question very specifically. I said, you know, "Do we...is it legal to be working on this advanced machinery the way you guys are? Or does national security dictate you being able to do whatever you want to do to get the job done?" I wasn't being disrespectful. I wasn't saying, "Are you doing something illegal?" It was very clear what they were trying to achieve when the response I got was the exact law, the exact number and the exact paragraph on the government website. I was being pointed to the very specific text of which these national security departments operate under.



Knapp: The implication in that sentence is that we got technology from somewhere else, you can call it alien, it's not ours, foreign. We've got technology and we're trying to figure out how it works and how to defend against it?

DeLonge: Yeah. That's exactly what's going on. It's crazy to believe, it's super hard to digest, but that's exactly what's going on, yeah.



Following are more comments regarding these elusive groups:

Chris Mellon

An especially telling quote about IRADs came from someone who is now also a member of TTSA, Chris Mellon. His comments (which were made in 2016 and could be now outdated) can also be viewed as generally sceptical of UFO artifacts existing. Since TTSA currently claims to have possible Metamaterials, and since so many others have now come forward claiming the government has them, I'd be interested to know if Mr. Mellon still finds it hard to imagine that alien technology could remain under wraps. However, one could argue Metamaterials are no longer under wraps since the public is finding out about them, making Mr. Mellon's opinion, in a way, now accurate. Mr. Mellon made this statement before the creation of TTSA was publicly announced. Regardless of the rest of this quote and my confusing interpretations of it, I consider his words about IRADs extremely important. Here is an excerpt from a Leslie Kean interview with Mr. Mellon:

Kean: Some inside sources have proposed that retrieved hardware from a UFO may exist within a private aerospace company which has become independent from the DoD. In this way, it would be exempt from government oversight and known only to a few people. Do you think this is possible?

Mellon: I find it hard to imagine something as explosive as recovered alien technology remaining under wraps for decades. So while I have no reason to believe there is any recovered alien technology, I will say this: If it were me, and I were trying to bury it deep, I'd take it outside government oversight entirely and place it in a compartment as a new entity within an existing defense company and manage it as what we call an "IRAD" or "Independent Research and Development Activity."

Bernard Haisch

Bernard Haisch touched on [more UFO programs](https://silvarecord.wordpress.com/2018/12/24/more-ufo-programs/) (https://silvarecord.wordpress.com/2018/12/24/more-ufo-programs/) as well as the crash retrieval program itself. I will speculate that if Haisch is correct and AATIP did indeed find the crash retrieval program, than this could be one of many reasons why Luis Elizondo felt confident making his statement to Tucker Carlson about the government being in possession of UFO debris. Haisch said in his [blog](https://sites.google.com/view/ufoskepticorg/pentagon-acknowledges-ufo-program?fbclid=IwAR2pI8irXB1Qxdh4Waq9uOb5FFt0I435cJA4wyGK_58d7zfKNd52DabFI5Q) (https://sites.google.com/view/ufoskepticorg/pentagon-acknowledges-ufo-program?fbclid=IwAR2pI8irXB1Qxdh4Waq9uOb5FFt0I435cJA4wyGK_58d7zfKNd52DabFI5Q):

"The following is conjecture.

Sources tell me that this is merely the tip of the iceberg. A group of four related but separate unacknowledged SCI programs tracing back to a 1947 Truman memorandum still exist and were housed as of the 1990s in major aerospace companies such as for example Lockheed, TRW, Raytheon, Aerospace Corp. etc. These would be expensive programs since the cost of secrecy can be several times higher than the research. The AATIB program has no relation to these four much better funded deep black ones. Indeed, the black programs collectively have budgets in the \$10B range and up. Topics apparently include both reverse engineering and extraterrestrial biology. The AATIP did find the UFO crash retrieval program via official channels, but was denied access to it because the AATIP itself is not a SAP. Sen. Harry Reid petitioned the DoD to confer SAP status to the AATIP, but the DoD denied his request."

Dr. Kit Green via Richard Dolan:

Richard Dolan's article and interview with Dr. Kit Green (<https://richarddolanmembers.com/free-content/dr-kit-green-on-the-record/>) is very interesting. First, it is important to mention that it is unclear if Dr. Green believes there are actually UFO SAPs:

I asked him as a result of all this, all these close calls, did he believe there was a special access program connected to UFOs, aliens, and the like. His answer, as always, was extremely specific. Based on his specific experience and areas of expertise, he had not seen any reason to go there.

Then, at another point in the article, Dolan and Green are discussing the controversial Wilson Document and Dr. Green shares some of his opinions of SAPs:

In a follow-up conversation, Kit Green went further for an on-the-record statement. While he would not put himself on the record relating to the authenticity of the Wilson document, he did state that “the generic discussion” in that document was “exceptionally familiar” to him. Specifically, about how within the world of special access programs, “there comes a time when those are not under the control of the United States Government.”

Furthermore, the reaction as portrayed by Wilson in the document — Wilson’s anger and dismay at being locked out of a program which by rights he believed he had some measure of authority over — struck Green as exactly right. “In fact,” he added, “all the SAP I’ve been part of except one are in that category. When I go to those facilities, there are no government employees.”

Skipping to the end of this section of the interview, Dolan sums up Dr. Green’s train of thought on SAPs:

Not only is most of the advanced technology research under development in the hands of private corporations, but the basic scenario is that the U.S. government serves as little more than a security organization working for those private entities. The impression I got from this is that the U.S. government has limited control at best over these programs. It provides the money, security, and valuable infrastructure, and that’s about it. After the technology is ready for operational use, then it can be another story, once the military services take delivery of a fieldable system.

Former Senator Harry Reid

In previous interviews former Senator Harry Reid said he wanted the Advanced Aerospace Threat Identification Program (AATIP) to become a Special Access Program so it could gain access to classified materials. Last week during a new interview with George Knapp (<https://www.8newsnow.com/i-team/i-team-former-sen-harry-reid-talks-ufos-in-sit-down-interview/>), rather than referencing other UFO programs as the groups who might have the material, Reid referred to “Public Corporations” as the ones who may hold the materials. This goes along with what many have said, that private contractors, public corporations, and/or Independent Research and Development activity (IRADs) could not only be where the debris is held, but where at least some of the other official government UFO programs (<https://silvarecord.wordpress.com/2018/12/24/more-ufo-programs/>) could be located.

From George Knapp’s interview with Harry Reid:

In 2009, Reid tried to get the Pentagon to turn AATIP into a special access program, a more classified operation, in part because he wanted to connect with other secret programs that may or may not have hard evidence of UFOs, including materials or technology recovered from crash sites.

“One reason I did that is there’s always this rumor, some people say there are public corporations that have materials that we should look at,” Reid said. “Now, I wanted to make sure that was valid or not valid, but I couldn’t get, they wouldn’t support it, wouldn’t approve it.”

Read and watch George Knapp’s latest interview with Harry Reid here. (<https://www.8newsnow.com/i-team/i-team-former-sen-harry-reid-talks-ufos-in-sit-down-interview/>)

Harry Reid's historic letter:

There are many more claims than these that the government and/or contractors/groups currently possess UFO debris, artifacts, Metamaterials and maybe even whole craft. It's a topic that has to be taken seriously. The Army seems to be interested in the subject and a reporter even asked a sitting United States President about it. With testing being done by various groups, this is a subject that won't be going away anytime soon.

Support SilvaRecord.com on Patreon.
(<https://www.patreon.com/SilvaRecord>)

Follow the moment to moment conversation on Twitter,
(<https://twitter.com/SilvaRecord>)

Instagram,
(<https://www.instagram.com/dannysilvarecord/>)

& Facebook. (<https://www.facebook.com/SilvaRecord/>)

TAGS • AATIP • AAWSAP • ADAM RESEARCH PROJECT • AUDIO/VIDEO • CRASH RETRIEVAL • DIA • DR. ERIC DAVIS • DR. GARRY P. NOLAN • DR. HAL PUTHOFF • FEATURED • GEORGE KNAPP • LINKS • LUIS ELIZONDO • METAMATERIALS • NEWS • POSTS • ROBERT BIGEOW • SCIENCE • TISA

11 comments on “These People Claim UFO Artifacts are Held by the Government and/or Contractors”

Marc-André Coulombe

November 16, 2019

As always Silva Record is building an archivable dataset to better understand the UFO phenomena. Although bringing government and contractor secrets to the public surface is an awfully hard work, some people need to do it and history will be able to tell Silva Record was one of them.

Reply

Dave

November 16, 2019

Excellent article! Superb!

Reply

Jacques vallee

November 18, 2019

Excellent summary. Let's remember, however, that this community has a history of suddenly getting excited over things that have been going on for years, as if they had just been discovered. There are papers in the open literature about samples being tested over the last 40 years. Serious groups like APRO and CUFOS have reported widely about chemical analyses of the Ubatuba incident in Brazil, as did professor Sturrock at Stanford, and I've republished my own articles from the 1980s and 1990s on my website (Jacquesvallee.net). These papers have addressed multiple metal samples as well as vegetation analyses from various countries and have been widely reviewed.

The labeling of these samples as meta-, ultra- or super-materials is misleading or at least, premature. Some of the results point to alloys or assemblages of elements normally found on earth. But that does not mean they are not significant. As usual, it is the overall pattern that may be important. Promising sensational results from one case may be a good way to get media attention but it can also be a source of disappointment in a field so long characterized by false promises and bad science.

Reply

Marc-André Coulombe

November 19, 2019

Mr. Vallée, and this comment would work also for Mr. Nolan,

As a PhD in chemical engineering, I can't agree more on your view of prudence of scientific results. This be said, and I'm not an anthropologue, but it seems to me something is happening on the sociological level. Scientists aren't bashed out anymore for willingness to discuss the ETH. That's huge! Stigma are slowly melting away. It might take years still but I think we're clearly seeing a trend here.

While it was courageous for all of you to come forward in different public settings, it's less and less dangerous to come forward and I can't find anything else to do but to celebrate it.

Have a blessed day.

Reply

Garry Nolan

November 19, 2019

Jacques points to an uncomfortable truth. There are a lot of claims out there about materials that are just not going to bear out. Look, it's fine to speculate. It's fun and it's where scientific hypotheses begin. But the testing needs to be done... slowly... carefully... and double/triple

checked. Giving a half answer 1/3 of the way through a testing process ONLY leads to embarrassment or backtracking.

Testing is not for the faint of heart. And it's expensive in time and resources if you want to say something "final". Then, while it's relatively easy to say something about what an object is made of, it's much harder to name its FUNCTION.

Reply

Adam Goldsack

November 19, 2019

Great work Danny

Reply

UFO Researcher

November 19, 2019

The small pieces of (allegedly) meta-material held by TTSA beyond a doubt deserve investigation and study. However, when it comes to their relative significance, they are like a small family of mice residing under the couch compared to the elephants – the multiple intact whole vehicles and bodies – packed into every room of the small apartment. Forcing these treasure troves out of deep dark sequestration into the light of day must be our primary objective. This is not only due to their innate value (which is immense) but because logical and rationality dictate that after seventy years and billions of dollars spent investigating them, the Special Access Programs and whatever entities control them should have gained a great deal of knowledge. And, of course, if a living ET was ever obtained like Harold Puthoff's former contract employee Rick Doty claims, then with such a Rosetta Stone truly significant progress would have been made. Official acknowledgement of these crash recoveries and the hardware, bodies, and potentially living occupants is the starting point for the next phase of human civilization and must be our target: even if we continue to support investigation of TTSA's materials in the meantime.

Reply

Bryan Kelly

November 19, 2019

That was a great comment made earlier about function. A wheel is a wheel whether it's made of stone or "multilayered bismuth and magnesium".

That's why the waveguide aspect is intriguing. We can start building those ourselves now, including terahertz frequencies, out of currently available materials.

That said, I fully acknowledge my own confirmation bias, because the notion of pumping those frequencies, i.e., the temperature of space, is something I've been pushing for some years now.

I suggest that the TTSA/Army try to get that kind of sample into NASA Goddard's Space Environment Simulator (SES) or perhaps a private one. There, it might float. A little "zapping" is like splashing water on a boat, but may measurably demonstrate the principle.

Overall, superb article. Everything is in one place and the highlights are well-highlighted.

Reply

Frank Stalter

November 19, 2019

A collection of information covering the first six months of all this can be found here:

<http://ufopartisan.blogspot.com/2017/12/the-science-behind-pentagon-ufo-study.html>

Reply

Vicious49er

August 7, 2020

Why do we need the government to admit ufos exist. I along with a deputy have seen ufos. It was about 2:30am and we were in a canyon at our facility working . I always go outside to see if I can see anything, when I did. I called my partner outside and told him where to look. Up in the sky we're what look like 3 lights moving quickly, then they would morph into 1 and fly at a high velocity, then morph back into 3 separate objects and they they just took off into space. This is 1000% real , We don't need the government to admit they exist .

Reply

Nicholas Paul Ginex

May 26, 2021

Please read the article dedicated to the murder of Mark McCandlish who died on April 13, 2021 with a shotgun blast to the head. He was going to testify at a Senate UFO/UAP meeting in June.

<http://www.nicholasginex.com/2021/05/22/planned-2021-2022-ufo-hoax-by-cia-pentagon/>

Share the article with others.

Reply

[Blog at WordPress.com.](#)

CASE #833[Playwright Render](#)

SOURCE URL

<https://silvarecord.com/2019/11/15/these-people-claim-ufo-artifacts-are-held-by-the-government->

DOMAIN

silvarecord.com

CASE ID

#833 of 924

CONTEXT FROM ORIGINAL DOCUMENT

(PUBLIC DOMAIN) - April 2016 — Tom DeLonge states he was told by unnamed “advisors” that there were multiple UAP crashes and recoveries. The USG and its contractors hid it, created a “pickup game” of counter intelligence, DeLonge claims, and adds that it was at one time bigger than the Manhattan Project. He states it is currently held by a private corporation where “certain laws” protect their own breakthroughs and proprietary assets; DeLonge adds that he asked the advisors if the crash recovery program(s) were legal, or if they were illegal. He claims the advisors showed him an “exact law,” with an exact paragraph and number on a “government website” to justify what they were doing as legal.

-