




January 20, 2020

ADVANCED AEROSPACE THREAT AND IDENTIFICATION PROGRAM (AATIP)

Written by [Jay09784691](#)

On the 6th of August 2018 I was researching into Christopher Mellon, one of the newly appointed advisory staff at Tom DeLonge's new company "To The Stars Academy" an organisation that was set up to research and release information on "scientific phenomena". While looking around to see if he was involved in any published scientific papers, I happened across a link on his now-deleted Twitter page - it was a link to his website. While searching around his site, I came across a section titled "Miscellaneous" that included several documents on the AATIP, the group within the US Pentagon that was researching the same phenomena that DeLonge's new company was looking into.



Dette website anvender cookies fra Google til at levere sine tjenester og analysere trafik. Din IP-adresse og brugeragent deles med Google sammen med effektivitets- og sikkerhedsmetrics. Dette sker for at sikre tjenestekvaliteten, generere brugsstatistik samt registrere og håndtere misbrug.

FLERE OPLYSNINGER OK

The files that were found included the following:

1. Luis Elizondo's resignation letter
2. Original copies of three videos that were released by TTSA:

"flir1_981.mp4": sized 3.85MB, pixel resolution 352x264, duration 1:16

"gimble_vid..._492.mp4": sized 6.93MB, pixel resolution 640x480, duration 0:34

"gofast..._737.mp4": sized 9.15MB, pixel resolution 640x480, duration 0:34

3. Photographs of a package received from the Pentagon addressed to Mr. Elizondo:

From "R. Essex", with "CHRIS MELLON 16:00 9/7/17" written onto it

4. A photograph of four CDs marked as "UNCLASSIFIED U.S. Government Property"
5. Five pages of a full unredacted report from the Nimitz incident
6. Seven slides from a presentation on the AATIP

Edit: I've included the photograph of the CDs and some additional images in the video reading of this article that can be found here:

[Advance Aerospace Threat and Identification Program.](#)

At the time that this occurred, it made a little splash within a fairly small group of people on Twitter. I shared all of the detail initially on the 6th of August and then further detail to Twitter on the 7th of August - on the recommendation from a friend (Joe Murgia - Twitter's @UfoJoe11) I removed a tweet that included the unredacted names of the pilots involved in Nimitz incident. I let another friend (Danny Silva of silvarecord.com) know of what I'd found and he published some detail on the now-defunct UAPInfo website. The main purpose of their article was highlighting Mr. Elizondo's resignation letter.

The purpose of writing this article now so long after the event is to highlight the detail that was present in the AATIP slides and to analyse the content. It's worth noting that the slides themselves were reworked and the TV show Unidentified included redacted versions of the slides, interesting that multiple omissions were present, so intentionally removing some of the detail. I may prepare a follow-up article demonstrating the differences between what was present in the original and what was released as part of the TV program. For now, I will share the source files and provide some analysis of the detail.

The slides were numbered 1, 2, 3, 4, 7, 8, 9 - the omissions being 5 and 6. Those were not present within Mr. Mellon's website and cannot be shared here.

Dette website anvender cookies fra Google til at levere sine tjenester og analysere trafik. Din IP-adresse og brugeragent deles med Google sammen med effektivitets- og sikkerhedsmetrics. Dette sker for at sikre tjenestekvaliteten, generere brugsstatistik samt registrere og håndtere misbrug.

FLERE OPLYSNINGER OK

Advanced Aerospace Threat and Identification Program (AATIP)

1

So quite simply the name of the program. There was contention around the name of AATIP for several weeks, with some stating that it was Advanced Aviation Threat Identification Program, but here it is listed exactly as it was used while active at the Pentagon. So "aerospace" is unarguably the correct terminology. It may seem semantic to point out, only the difference between the two can be the difference between something in the sky (aviation) to something in space (aerospace). An important distinction.

From the name, it appears that the group considered the advanced aerospace objects/vehicles as being a "threat" and at the same time wanted to identify what they are. As we progress through the slides it becomes apparent that AATIP was analysing the capabilities of the objects and assessing whether or not they're to be considered a problem for national security.

You can see the numeric labelling of the slides at the bottom left. It also appears that the slides themselves were not the original PowerPoint presentation, but rather a scan of the pages - some show darkening around the upper left of the image where it seems clear that a folding of the pages occurred at the time the pages were scanned. Quite why the original electronic file wasn't present is anyone's guess - possibly classification issues? I would have thought copying the original PowerPoint file onto the CD would be easier than printing/scanning/copying the file. It's possible that Mr. Mellon made the photocopies after receiving the source files.

Dette website anvender cookies fra Google til at levere sine tjenester og analysere trafik. Din IP-adresse og brugeragent deles med Google sammen med effektivitets- og sikkerhedsmetrics. Dette sker for at sikre tjenestekvaliteten, generere brugsstatistik samt registrere og håndtere misbrug.

FLERE OPLYSNINGER OK

Purpose

- **Inform the Deputy Secretary of Defense of ongoing initiatives relating to AATIP**
- **Request establishment of a Restricted Special-Access-Program with a Bigoted Access List for specific portions of AATIP**
- **Re-assign billets, resources, and recommended personnel to a dedicated DoD AATIP Support Office**
- **Establish a DoD AATIP Reporting Chain of Command**

2

This has the heading "Purpose", so presumably to give detail on the AATIP for those in attendance of the presentation itself. So it's clear that the Deputy Secretary of Defence is well aware of the program and was updated on its progress intermittently. AATIP was also wanting to get itself set up as a SAP (Special Access Program), with a "bigoted access list", so limited in its availability to Pentagon staff.

At the time the presentation was given it appears that they were looking for additional funding and the associated resources, with a dedicated "support office". Presumably those present in the AATIP before this time must have split themselves between this project and other unrelated activities. We have no additional information on the FTE allocation, but some staff may have been full time on the project and required further dedicated staff.

The bullet labelled "Establish a DoD AATIP Reporting Chain of Command" suggests that they wanted to add weight to the program that'd then potentially give them access to files within other associated SAPs - like those detailed in Wilson Memo.

We know that much of the Pentagon is separated into "stovepipes", so essentially disassociated from one another, presumably for privacy purposes. Looking at this slide, it appears like they would have wanted access to other similar groups and programs.

The third slide:

Dette website anvender cookies fra Google til at levere sine tjenester og analysere trafik. Din IP-adresse og brugeragent deles med Google sammen med effektivitets- og sikkerhedsmetrics. Dette sker for at sikre tjenestekvaliteten, generere brugsstatistik samt registrere og håndtere misbrug.

FLERE OPLYSNINGER OK

AATIP Mission

To further identify, understand, and take advantage of a generation of highly advanced technologies and principles that may be currently employed by other countries, actors, or entities and use this technology to the benefit of the Department and provide the U.S. with a decisive advantage over adversaries and threats

AATIP Vision

An integrated capability that provides the Department with "Beyond Next Generation" technologies and understanding, particularly in the areas of aerospace

3

And here we have the full mission statement for the AATIP. So they wanted to identify the threats and take full advantage of the technology that are being used. It's clear that the slide has no suggestion on the source of the objects only that they're "employed by other countries, actors, or entities". The US DoD wanted to use the technology for military purposes since it's stating that understanding the tech would "provide the U.S. with a decisive advantage over adversaries and threats". As we'll see in one of the later slides they're looking to identify all of the aspects of the objects and try and determine how the systems functioned and replicate them.

The AATIP vision is to make use of the "Beyond Next Generation" technology within "aerospace", so they are referring to something that's able to navigate in space and likely within the air too. The technology is considered highly advanced and presumably way beyond anything that was being used at the time the slides were created - and likely not now either. One has to wonder if the speech marks and capitalisation on "Beyond Next Generation" is indicating some other file or program assessing these devices or materials. These words were once coloured before the scan, therefore adding emphasis - as they're currently grey in this black-and-white version shown above.

Dette website anvender cookies fra Google til at levere sine tjenester og analysere trafik. Din IP-adresse og brugeragent deles med Google sammen med effektivitets- og sikkerhedsmetrics. Dette sker for at sikre tjenestekvaliteten, generere brugsstatistik samt registrere og håndtere misbrug.

FLERE OPLYSNINGER OK

AATIP Preliminary Assessments

- **Realization that many of the findings, if used against the United States, may prove to be decisive "game changers" for our country**
- **Preliminary evidence indicates that the United States is incapable of defending itself towards some of these technologies**
- **Several unconventional findings require further investigation in order to determine the full scope of the threat and their capabilities to be either exploited or defeated**
- **The nature of these technologies and the fact that the United States has no countermeasures is considered Highly Sensitive**

4

This one is headed "AATIP Preliminary Assessments", so the program's initial views of the technology or objects that they're assessing as part of the report and the relating projects within it. Part of this assessment is that if the technology were to be used against the US, it would be considered a "game changer" and this isn't in any way positive for the US since the final bullet on the page states that the US "has no countermeasures" against the technology. So the military has no suitable weapons to fight against it. Knowledge of this fact is listed as being considered "highly sensitive" - presumably not for the wider population to know how significantly the military is handicapped against the objects. This is further emphasized in bullet two where it's stated that the evidence indicated that the military cannot defend itself.

It is also stated that some details around the technology are so unusual as to be considered unconventional. I imagine this means they include unanticipated aspects that the military has not expected to be included in any kind of conventional weapon or vehicle. These aspects are still undetermined and require understanding the "full scope" of them. As with one of the earlier slides, they're suggesting that they need to exploit the technology (i.e., use it themselves) or for it to be defeated.

Considering that US military spending is by far the highest in any country, it's hard to assume that any of the other countries of the

Dette website anvender cookies fra Google til at levere sine tjenester og analysere trafik. Din IP-adresse og brugeragent deles med Google sammen med effektivitets- og sikkerhedsmetrics. Dette sker for at sikre tjenestekvaliteten, generere brugsstatistik samt registrere og håndtere misbrug.

FLERE OPLYSNINGER OK

The seventh slide (again, there are no slides available for 5 and 6):

Contract Focus

AATIP technical studies in the following areas:

- Lift
- Propulsion
- Control
- Power Generation
- Spatial/Temporal Translation
- Signature Reduction (optical, infrared, radiofrequency, acoustic)
- Technology Integration
- Materials
- Configuration, Structure
- Human Interface
- Human Effects
- Armament (RF and DEW)

Emphasis on unconventional technology capabilities 40 years in the future and beyond (not extrapolations of current technology)

- *Investigate legitimacy of currently observed phenomena*
- *Are they achievable by current physics and engineering?*
- *If not, what research is required to achieve?*

7

Quite a lot to digest on this one - we now have the “Contract Focus”, so these are relating to the scientific papers that were contracted out to several scientists across the world. Some scientists are better known than others. The papers themselves are considered technical studies.

While reviewing these different bullets, you have to ask yourself what kind of technology has all of these different aspects - certainly nothing that’s available to the military today. We can only consider some of them as applying to known technology (not much of which is related to aerospace, mind you); lift, propulsion, control, power generation, materials, configuration, structure. Those all sound fairly mundane until you cross-check them against the scientific papers listed on the following slide.

Several of the other items are a little more significant:

1. Spatial/Temporal Translation as the technology can adapt space and time (1)

Dette website anvender cookies fra Google til at levere sine tjenester og analysere trafik. Din IP-adresse og brugeragent deles med Google sammen med effektivitets- og sikkerhedsmetrics. Dette sker for at sikre tjenestekvaliteten, generere brugsstatistik samt registrere og håndtere misbrug.

FLERE OPLYSNINGER OK

acoustics


2.1 So invisible to the eye, radar, even sound. I've previously referred to multiple metamaterial patents by military groups that are looking to use microwave frequency radiated materials layered in a specific orientation that allows for stealth. Makes you wonder if this technology was inspired by what's being listed here, or it was independently determined.

3. Human Interface: I assume this means how the craft are specifically controlled.

3.1 It suggests that the interface is with the human itself, rather than through some related tool or steering contraption. Is the technology interfacing with the mind of people in proximity or with the pilots themselves - perhaps both? I've previously written about how these types of objects appear to affect people in significant ways and how consciousness itself may be a polymorphic interface. It's possible that the information here may add additional emphasis to that idea.

4. Human Effects: this is a reference to how the craft effects people in close proximity to them and the physiological impact on their bodies.

4.1 At this point, it's also worth mentioning that on the EarthTech website (company owned by Hal Puthoff - one of the paper's authors) had the following image present until I posted about it on Twitter, then it was taken off the site. Keith Basterfield (Twitter's @KeithBasterfie1) wrote a blog post about it in 2018 and showed the original image while it was still present on the EarthTech site.



4.2 I wonder if this ties back to the research being performed by Dr. Garry Nolan and Dr. Kit Green (who is coincidentally the author of

Dette website anvender cookies fra Google til at levere sine tjenester og analysere trafik. Din IP-adresse og brugeragent deles med Google sammen med effektivitets- og sikkerhedsmetrics. Dette sker for at sikre tjenestekvaliteten, generere brugsstatistik samt registrere og håndtere misbrug.

FLERE OPLYSNINGER OK

So definitely the types of technologies that we haven't been able to fully develop (with some minor exceptions). All of these have their relating technology papers associated with them.

The slide ends with a comment asking if the abilities are even achievable using our current understanding of physics and engineering. So they're accepting that our knowledge of physics could be about to fundamentally change, once we're better able to understand these technologies. I like to think that this would include some kind of subjectivity tech, but the only clues here are with the human effects and interface.

The eighth slide:

FY09 Technical Reports

Title	Author	Affiliation
Inertial Electrostatic Confinement Fusion	Miley	U Illinois
Pulse-Power-based Weaponry	Wells	Northrop
Space-time Modifications for Spaceflight Applications	Puthoff	EarthTech
Novel MEMS-based Biosensors	Towe	Arizona
Theory and Experiments of Invisibility Cloaking	Leonhardt	U St. Andrews
Wormholes in SpaceTime	Davis	EarthTech
Gravity Wave Communication	Baker	Gravwave
Superconductors in Gravity Research	Hathaway	Hathaway
Antigravity for Aerospace Applications	Davis	EarthTech
Field Effects on Biological Tissues	Green	Wayne State
Positron Aerospace Propulsion	Smith	Positronics
Vacuum Energy Applications	Davis	EarthTech
Improved Statistical Approach to Drake Equation	Maccone	Acad Astro
Maverick vs. Corporate Research Cultures	Hathaway	Hathaway
Biosensors and BioMEMS	Towe	Arizona St U
Metamaterials for Aerospace Applications	Shvets	U Texas
Warp Drives	Obousy	Obousy
Controlling Devices without Limb Operated Interfaces	Genik	Wayne State
Materials for Advanced Aerospace Platforms	Williams	Ohio State U
Metallic Glasses	Hufnagel	John Hopkins U
Programmable Matter	McCarthy	Prog Matter
Metallic Spintronics	Tsoi	U Texas
High Energy Laser Weapons	Albertine	priv consultant
Quantum Entanglement Communications	Cramer	Washington
Space Access: Where Been, Where Go	Czysz	Hypertech
Advanced Nuclear Propulsion for Deep Space	Winterberg	Nevada

8

These are the relating papers that were contracted out to the science teams, as specified in the previous slide. I'll try and pick out the items of particular interest, it's also worth reading through the list yourself to see if anything else stick out, I'd be interested to hear of any other views here as there's a lot to absorb. These papers are largely not available to the public.

Dette website anvender cookies fra Google til at levere sine tjenester og analysere trafik. Din IP-adresse og brugeragent deles med Google sammen med effektivitets- og sikkerhedsmetrics. Dette sker for at sikre tjenestekvaliteten, generere brugsstatistik samt registrere og håndtere misbrug.

FLERE OPLYSNINGER OK

in these slides, there must have been separate communication on them. There are the two relating papers listed above, the distinction between them is unclear from their titles alone.

Edit: check out this follow-up article on Dr. Bruce Towe and his studies / patents on anomalous implanted devices: [The Pentagon's AATIP Implant Studies](#)

Space-Time Modifications for Spaceflight applications:

Here is the paper that was written by Hal Puthoff who is famous for being involved in the remote viewing "Stargate Project" activities for the CIA in the '70s. The specific paper here relates directly to his research on bending space and time for the purposes of spaceflight. I doubt that actual practical applications for this research were ever completed since this paper was put together.

Field Effects on Biological Tissues:

Presumably written by Christopher Canfield (Kit) Green, this is one of the single most interesting of the papers listed here as it likely documents cases where people have been in direct proximity to the unusual craft and list the impact on the bodies of those people. I suspect that the image from Dr. Puthoff's website (the MRI brain scan) is likely to have been part of this research. So people within a certain radius receive damage to their brains and it later causes the death of the patients.

As we know the devices likely also radiate their materials with microwave and/or terahertz frequencies, I'd suspect that people were also receiving burns and lesions to their skin. These types of impacts have been listed in the literature from people that are exposed to these devices. Impacting actual brain tissue (and presumably the conscious states of people) appears to be of particular interest, as enclosed within the skull and without damage to the relating bone tissue. No bone damage appears in the MRI, but the patient was given multiple scans of the brain, presumably because of self-reported changes that the patient will have described with their conscious experience.

The rest of the papers:

There is quite a lot of information that's present on this slide and I'd previously looked into the names of the relating scientists - posting the nearest available papers as links from my Twitter account (@Jay09784691). Many of the source files are not present, as they were contracted by the US government, although several of the authors have written follow-up papers (not contracted) that are publicly available. Each of the papers themselves could be whole articles, so I'll avoid analysing each of them (at least for now).

Edit: a follow-up article on Dr. George Hathaway - one of the AATIP contracted scientists listed in this slide - and his fascinating work on anomalous communications with extraterrestrials using psychic visions, among other things: [Communication with Non-Human Intelligence, Visionary Experience, and Propulsion Science in Space.](#)

The ninth (and final) slide:

Dette website anvender cookies fra Google til at levere sine tjenester og analysere trafik. Din IP-adresse og brugeragent deles med Google sammen med effektivitets- og sikkerhedsmetrics. Dette sker for at sikre tjenestekvaliteten, generere brugsstatistik samt registrere og håndtere misbrug.

FLERE OPLYSNINGER OK

DoD Threat Scenario (AATIP Sub-Focus Areas)

The science exists for an enemy of the United States to manipulate both physical and cognitive environments in order to penetrate U.S. facilities, influence decision makers, and compromise national security

- Psychotronic weapons
- Cognitive Human Interface (CHI)
- Penetration of solid surfaces
- Instantaneous sensor disassembly
- Alteration/Manipulation of biological organisms
- Anomalies in the space/time construct
- Unique cognitive human interface experiences

DoD Advantages

- DoD has been involved in similar experiments in the past
- DoD has relationships with renowned subject matter experts
- DoD controls several facilities where activities have been detected

What was considered "phenomena" is now quantum physics

9

This is documenting how the DoD considers the craft as threats and the relating rationale. The slide refers to the craft as an "enemy", or at least a potential enemy, and makes references to the fact that the US has facilities where activities have been detected.

Presumably the often referenced nuclear facilities. This is essentially a confirmation that these craft have been engaged with these sites and the activities of the craft (turning on/off nuclear weapons?) causes them to be considered threats. Somewhat understandable.

The craft are listed as being able to manipulate physical environments, so it could be a reference to cases where pilots have seen their technology interfered with or even events that occurred at nuclear or military sites. They're also listed as being able to manipulate "cognitive environments", so have affected people's experiences to the point where they may have a differing understanding of their environments and maybe the subject to novel or bizarre communications. This is again emphasized with the bullet stating "Cognitive Human Interface". If a person can control these craft using their minds, then what is their conscious experience during those times? It's not clear to me if they're suggesting that people have been in control of the objects, or if they're hypothesizing potential control methods. Not enough detail is present.

Dette website anvender cookies fra Google til at levere sine tjenester og analysere trafik. Din IP-adresse og brugeragent deles med Google sammen med effektivitets- og sikkerhedsmetrics. Dette sker for at sikre tjenestekvaliteten, generere brugsstatistik samt registrere og håndtere misbrug.

FLERE OPLYSNINGER OK

considering the full importance and significance of the data.

Apparently, the Department of Defence has previously been involved in similar experiments in the past. I can only assume this means that the experiments have changed as our technological progress has increased. It also means that this subject isn't new and has been researched for a number of years. Quite how long is down to how much of the popular story you choose to believe (i.e., the reported "crash" sites that have been reported since about '47).

The last aspect of the full slides is the final statement, as follows:

“What was considered phenomena is now quantum physics”

It's a bold statement and isn't explained at all. You'd hope that the person presenting the slides would have elaborated on this item more at the time unless the slide deck is greater than nine slides in length. You can take this statement in several different ways. It's referring to "phenomena" so the plural variation of "phenomenon", so appears to be referring to multiple things. I guess it may be saying that a lot of the unexpected and unexplained novel events that occur could be explainable with the idea that the world doesn't always function in a classical sense. At least that's how I like to read it, as it matches somewhat with my thoughts on the subject, as written in previous articles on this blog.

If you take all of the slides and the relevant details in a literal sense, then it very much suggests that the ETH (extraterrestrial hypothesis) is the answer to what's happening. Only as a hyper-advanced civilisation that understands how the mental experience may be as fundamental to experience as the physical nature (maybe even more so). I may be applying confirmation bias to this though, as I get the impression that we're dealing with an incredibly advanced intelligence. I just prefer to think of any interacting civilisations as having evolved beyond needed to have physical bodies - so fully transcended at some point during their evolution.

Most incredibly is the fact that the Pentagon spent millions researching this area with only this one program, presumably there must have been multiple concurrent programs researching different areas of the phenomena, each tasked with different approaches. So the military and secret service consider this a very important area of research and if these slides are to be taken at face value, then they must have some incredible materials, papers, and analysis into something that has the potential to change society down to its absolute core, likely in a very positive way, even though there are constant references to “threats”.

We have people like Luis Elizondo who has come out of the governmental system to get the information out, he's now working for a private company with the intention to enlighten and hopefully broaden the horizons of the world's population. So while I understand some criticisms towards DeLonge's "To The Stars Academy", I think the current efforts should be congratulated and I'd hope that they're able to push this difficult subject further into the mainstream.

Dette website anvender cookies fra Google til at levere sine tjenester og analysere trafik. Din IP-adresse og brugeragent deles med Google sammen med effektivitets- og sikkerhedsmetrics. Dette sker for at sikre tjenestekvaliteten, generere brugsstatistik samt registrere og håndtere misbrug.

FLERE OPLYSNINGER OK



Share

COMMENTS



Smokey 20 January 2020 at 10:09

Great article. Lots to unpack here. This caught my eye:

"Five pages of a full unredacted report from the Nimitz incident"

I want to see this. Anyone know if and where it can be found?



Jay09784691 20 January 2020 at 10:47

Thank you, always appreciate kind words. :)

The report is the same as this one:

<https://thevault.tothestarsacademy.com/nimitz-report>

Only with the pilots' names and contact information listed. Honestly nothing particularly new. TTSA's version has all the main content.

REPLY



Danno 20 January 2020 at 10:53

Dette website anvender cookies fra Google til at levere sine tjenester og analysere trafik. Din IP-adresse og brugeragent deles med Google sammen med effektivitets- og sikkerhedsmetrics. Dette sker for at sikre tjenestekvaliteten, generere brugsstatistik samt registrere og håndtere misbrug.

FLERE OPLYSNINGER OK

Presumably denser objects could pass through less dense ones. I really have no idea.



Danno 20 January 2020 at 15:21

Yes, interesting. But something else immediately came to mind the second I read that. Now people may call me fringe or whatever for saying this, but.....multiple experiencers/abductees have stated that some of the entities they encounter have the ability to traverse glass and walls - I'm confident you are aware of such accounts. Now lets not beat about the bush - Despite the "we're trying to figure out what these things are" line, there are individuals who know perfectly well what they are, and not surprisingly that some (all? maybe not) of the UFOs/UAPs have occupants and is it possible that's what they are referring to?

Thanks for the reply btw.



Danno 20 January 2020 at 15:29

To add to that previous comment. The ability to move through solid objects (is anything truly solid?) includes the moving of said experiencer/abductee too. After all, is this not just a matter of advanced technology, albeit seemingly extremely advanced?

Cheers.



Jay09784691 21 January 2020 at 00:38

Yeah, you could well be right. Difficult to say. They certainly seem to be able to operate in spaces that we have not properly understood yet.

Anonymous 25 January 2022 at 20:03

Danno -- here is an abandoned 2004 patent for "walking through walls":
<https://patents.google.com/patent/US20060014125A1/en>

REPLY



Jeff 23 January 2020 at 11:51

@Danno: I thought the exact same thing upon reading it. As Arthur C. Clarke famously said: "Any sufficiently advanced technology is indistinguishable from magic."



Danno 2 February 2020 at 03:09

And it would. Just like electricity years ago would be deemed the devil's work. Given our embryonic understanding of quantum physics and entanglement, is it too far a stretch to contemplate that a far advanced intelligence used it's understanding of entanglement to allow

Dette website anvender cookies fra Google til at levere sine tjenester og analysere trafik. Din IP-adresse og brugeragent deles med Google sammen med effektivitets- og sikkerhedsmetrics. Dette sker for at sikre tjenestekvaliteten, generere brugsstatistik samt registrere og håndtere misbrug.

FLERE OPLYSNINGER OK

My opinion. So-called phenomena are not what they seem to be. Not an appearance within the atmosphere is understood. Everything behind a certain process is still imperceptible. Humanity has been exposed to remarkable things to find certain questions. But she cannot solve the paradox so quickly. To do this, mankind has to find the point of origin, what it was created from and where we are as intelligence. Three-dimensional states are an amusing curiosity and nobody has ever seen it from the outside. The question of what it is is more complex than the black monolith in a certain movie. It knows more about us than we see in ourselves.



Jay09784691 18 April 2020 at 07:40

Completely agree with this. I've made a couple of attempts with other posts on the blog to try and understand the phenomena from the same perspective.

I find it a struggle that some of the major players are suggesting the most obvious answer. So I'm of two minds whether to consider two separate phenomena, or if one is simply a type of deception, intentional or otherwise.

I feel that our internal processes are likely involved somehow, by accessing the cosmic hyperdimension through the dulling of senses or by manifesting physical aspects due to consciousness being fundamental.

Anonymous 18 April 2020 at 11:02

One is the answer. It shows only one pattern. But it has many layers that are interwoven. It means that a few have deciphered the why and with what question. And that alone questions everything we define as a perceptible world. And it doesn't just stop with the planet itself. There is nothing more to say because it cannot be evaluated with human ideas, because we can neither understand this world nor speak for it. And how processes have to develop.

Anonymous 18 April 2020 at 23:41

The scale of this process is of astonishing dimensions. Imagine your fixed point is within a spatially limited curvature, comparable to that of an embedded state. But mankind believes it is on a section of an infinite curvature where these seemingly familiar constants apply. Significantly more complex patterns will appear where two states appear to exist at the same time.



Jay09784691 19 April 2020 at 02:36

Thanks for the words. I need a bit of time to fully digest.

I guess you're saying that humans cannot understand a wider reality, since we haven't yet got the fundamental principles to comprehend it properly, and we may be misinterpreting what we're currently sensing?

It does seem like human ideals are completely misjudged at the moment. If we have significant omissions or incorrect understanding of existence, then we'd need to fully reassess. Which is difficult as these things are well settled into a societal consciousness. Even the collective unconscious.

Dette website anvender cookies fra Google til at levere sine tjenester og analysere trafik. Din IP-adresse og brugeragent deles med Google sammen med effektivitets- og sikkerhedsmetrics. Dette sker for at sikre tjenestekvaliteten, generere brugsstatistik samt registrere og håndtere misbrug.

FLERE OPLYSNINGER OK

No. The scale and the fact that we are dealing with something incredible that is expressed on so many levels is shocking. In the end, everything that people imagine as a perceptible world is to be assessed as a misinterpretation. I don't even know what came into being uninfluenced, the processes of the planet and the so-called star system are not what we think we know. I have identified so many patterns as not human origin that it surpasses any imagination. And the knowledge that we as humanity have not been able to achieve intelligence on our own. I can say it's more complex than '2001: A Space Odyssey' could ever describe. We are the ones who have a wrong idea of how an approach should work.

REPLY



Jay09784691 22 April 2020 at 08:41

It sounds like you're suggesting that the many statistically impossible variables that constitute this reality, are suggesting to you that some other intelligence may have created everything?

I'd very much like to discuss these items outside of Blogger if you're able to?

Anonymous 24 April 2020 at 09:39

Everything? The question is more of which is of natural origin and at which point something unknown is at work. You have certainly noticed how I carefully describe certain things that indicate something impossible. Humanity dreams of first contact with humanoid-like constructs of itself, the dream of star civilizations and star ships. And that wasn't really our idea either, and it's just an easier construct to demonstrate a later, more complex impossibility. This resulting UFO cult is no better than all previous patterns. Both perspectives are an incomprehensible disappointment. The ideas of humanity and the perceptible results of something limitlessly superior. I am currently unable to answer the second question. Maybe I wanted to imply that nobody should commit to knowing something, simple questions result in even bigger questions that are incredibly difficult.



Jay09784691 26 April 2020 at 03:28

I agree that the answer to what's going on is likely more complex than anyone can easily understand. I'm not an advocate of the idea that aliens are visiting from some other world. As far as I can tell, there appears to be a significantly wider reality just outside of view, it does feel like we're catching up with it though. But I also believe that even as we start to understand the wider reality, it may take many hundreds/thousands of years to fully make sense of it.

It's there. I've had enough anomalous experiences to comprehend that 3Ds and sense perception isn't everything.

I also agree that we need to ask more questions, but I also think it's okay to make attempts to try and make sense of the questions, providing we don't speak in absolute terms.

I'm trying to find the correct forum to try and discuss these ideas.

Anonymous 27 April 2020 at 08:46

Dette website anvender cookies fra Google til at levere sine tjenester og analysere trafik. Din IP-adresse og brugeragent deles med Google sammen med effektivitets- og sikkerhedsmetrics. Dette sker for at sikre tjenestekvaliteten, generere brugsstatistik samt registrere og håndtere misbrug.

FLERE OPLYSNINGER OK



I think you're right that people are far too quick to conclude what the phenomenon actually is. There are people that have made successful careers out of this being an ET problem. It will be difficult to convince those people that the answer is much more complex, as they'll hear what they want to hear and disregard the rest.

All I can think to do for the moment is follow what feels correct, providing it's able to be somewhat rationalised and not pure indulgent fantasy. I'm going to concentrate on the non-physical aspects and try to ignore the usual "aliens" answer.

Thanks for giving a bit of direction, intentionally or otherwise. I don't think it really matters if you're speaking from a position of authority, or just a random internet user.

Anonymous 30 April 2020 at 07:43

I can say that I deeply regret what I know. I would rather be in this dream world of the 21st century, where everyone can believe everything. This is neither positive nor negative. If it should decide that humanity has seen it without the certain perceptual filter, there will be serious changes in what humanity believes to know. Humanity will encounter something very unusual where they thought that there could be nothing like that. In fact, these are fascinating circumstances, but unfortunately new knowledge always comes with a loss of familiar knowledge. And that's harder than you can imagine. In human terms you have to question everything ..

Anonymous 21 June 2022 at 09:12

To "Anonymous" from April 30, '20: It sounds like you may have info many of us would like to at least ponder. If so, why not provide those here, interested enough to be reading these posts, with something concrete to chew on? It seems to me we've entered a new "Enlightenment," which will be painful as all growth is. If we're on the brink of mutual destruction, why not tell some of us now?

REPLY

Anonymous 27 January 2021 at 08:46

I'm sure this idea isn't new - but it strikes me that all of this, when taken together, represents a demonstration of capability. "They" or "It" or whatever, seem to be demonstrating capabilities that we previously considered either science fiction or outright impossible, and they seem to be demonstrating those capabilities to known powers - ie. The US Navy etc. The idea that this is a direct threat seems strange to me - if these "devices/craft" are capable of what witnesses are saying, then their military advantage over anything "we" have is exponential. A demonstrated ability to disarm our most lethal weapons systems, but with almost no acts that could be considered actively hostile. If their interest was conquest or dominion, they could have achieved that easily by now - simply by using the capabilities we've seen. So, assuming this is a demonstration, is this demonstration a type of guidance? Are we being pushed to discover something critical? But why push? What does the "force" behind the phenomenon gain from our advancement, if that's the intent? It would seem to me that A) it is a benevolent force, albeit with an indeterminable agenda of its own or B) it is experimenting and observing our reactions. I'm sure there are alternative theories and thoughts on this, but I'm interested to see what others think.

Dette website anvender cookies fra Google til at levere sine tjenester og analysere trafik. Din IP-adresse og brugeragent deles med Google sammen med effektivitets- og sikkerhedsmetrics. Dette sker for at sikre tjenestekvaliteten, generere brugsstatistik samt registrere og håndtere misbrug.

FLERE OPLYSNINGER OK

It's very difficult to determine how hostile the phenomenon is without truly knowing the intent. Assuming we even have the capacity to understand it. I agree that it's difficult to see them as a threat, considering their apparent astronomical (figuratively and literally) capabilities.

The Skinwalker Ranch observations by BAASS certainly sound like there is some hostility, but maybe we're not fully understanding why.

Anonymous 27 January 2021 at 10:11

I'm not familiar with the Skinwalker Ranch phenomenon - at least not since it was more of a "ghost" story back in the day. I will look into it more - thanks for the heads up!



Jay09784691 27 January 2021 at 12:39

Check out the book titled:

"Hunt for the Skinwalker: Science Confronts the Unexplained at a Remote Ranch in Utah"

It's a really excellent introduction. Some of the descriptions in that book give me chills.

Anonymous 30 April 2021 at 16:27

"If their interest was conquest or dominion, they could have achieved that easily by now"

The possibility that they already have has yet to be ruled out and may never be ruled out.

But what can you do. If you can't beat them...



Kirsten Corby 11 July 2021 at 23:39

Unknown, your post makes me imagine a program something like halfway between sheer colonization (OK, we're the Reticulans and we're in charge now, anybody who objects get disintegrated) and the Star Trek Prime Directive of complete non-interference. A program where the UFO intelligence can nudge and prod us, give hints, show things, but not directly interfere. We have to make the next move on our own. And I do have a sense that a higher state of consciousness of the human race is either required for, or will result from, taking that step.

Some people think that is what the abduction phenomenon is -- the "aliens" for lack of a better word are doing this for our benefit, even though it is in a manner and a purpose we are not equipped to understand.

Interesting ideas. Great blog post and comments thread.

Anonymous 14 December 2022 at 14:40

Dette website anvender cookies fra Google til at levere sine tjenester og analysere trafik. Din IP-adresse og brugeragent deles med Google sammen med effektivitets- og sikkerhedsmetrics. Dette sker for at sikre tjenestekvaliteten, generere brugsstatistik samt registrere og håndtere misbrug.

FLERE OPLYSNINGER OK

REPLY

Anonymous 6 January 2023 at 07:44

For anyone interested. A lot of the reports from slide 8 are available on the DIA FOIA electronic reading room. The document Field effects on biological tissue is stated to be an attempt to reverse engineering a propulsion system based on the specific frequencies of electromagnetic radiation that correspond to actual injuries from encounters with anomalous vehicles. One of the documents has a diagram of a craft similar to those seen by Ryan Graves unit

REPLY

Anonymous 11 January 2023 at 21:01

Why do you think all of these documents were readily available to anyone on Mellon's website? Thank you for your efforts. Take care



Jay09784691 12 January 2023 at 13:10

Accident or intentional leak.

The website was quickly taken down when the documents spread through Twitter.

Anonymous 14 August 2023 at 18:38

But if Mellon had been posting articles on the site for 2-3 years before 2018, then even if he had accidentally left those documents for anyone to find, why shut down his entire site afterward when he could easily have simply removed or deleted the document links and kept his site going? Check archive.org for his site. It raises real questions about the site being totally offline for much of the time after Oct 2017 thru August 2018, but just happened to be available during the time the documents were found waiting there? And then the site is shut off completely right afterward, and has stayed gone! Something doesn't feel right about it all.



Jay09784691 15 August 2023 at 00:27

These are questions for Mellon rather than me. I can only speculate.

Maybe they were intended to leak?

REPLY

Anonymous 2 April 2023 at 16:07

hi. i was just sort of funneled here thru a reddit rabbit hole but i just wanted to comment on how much i enjoyed your interpretation of these slides as well as your writing style on whole. i'm a medical dr and i find your level of inspection & healthy skepticism to be very much in line with the same rigorous approach to curiosity (& skepticism) of the unknown that i am accustomed to reading in the medical/scientific community. curious about your background...i will check out your other posts. thanks

Dette website anvender cookies fra Google til at levere sine tjenester og analysere trafik. Din IP-adresse og brugeragent deles med Google sammen med effektivitets- og sikkerhedsmetrics. Dette sker for at sikre tjenestekvaliteten, generere brugsstatistik samt registrere og håndtere misbrug.

FLERE OPLYSNINGER OK

Hi. Your finding all this material on Mellon's old site has certainly contributed the conversation of the last 6 years. However, there is still some controversy over whether this material is truthful and accurate, for what it represents. At least Mellon has said he did get the videos handed to him, so presumably that supports his having the pictures of the cd's. But has there ever been any information from him or anyone else validating those AATIP briefing 'slides' or the QUEUE form/report?

REPLY

Dgreens1020@gmail.com 23 March 2024 at 18:59

The comments midway through this comment section from anonymous, that seem like one user posted most of them, seem highly informed and to me I suspect that person may have some actual answers. They seem to be trying to point curious minds in the right direction, while giving an overwhelming sense of caution and finality that the acquisition of those answers entails. He states that it is neither positive nor negative, but deeply regrets knowing himself. I would really like to know any and all of the knowledge that this anonymous poster would be willing to give. Please drop me an email if you find yourself stumbling back through this comment section, even if only for the purpose of a casual thought provoking chat. Even though I'm a nobody, I figured I'd shoot my shot because I am desperately trying to find meaning in a world that does feel like a prison to me, and just seems completely wrong in so many countless ways. I do not believe the knowledge in which you deeply regret could leave me any more depressed than I already am. I sincerely appreciate this article, its author, and the contributions of all in the comments section. Thank you. -Dan

REPLY




Enter comment

POPULAR POSTS

Dette website anvender cookies fra Google til at levere sine tjenester og analysere trafik. Din IP-adresse og brugeragent deles med Google sammen med effektivitets- og sikkerhedsmetrics. Dette sker for at sikre tjenestekvaliteten, generere brugsstatistik samt registrere og håndtere misbrug.

FLERE OPLYSNINGER OK



October 08, 2024

ANOMALOUS COGNITION AND THE SEARCH FOR NON-HUMAN INTELLIGENCE

Share 5 comments

 Powered by Blogger

Dette website anvender cookies fra Google til at levere sine tjenester og analysere trafik. Din IP-adresse og brugeragent deles med Google sammen med effektivitets- og sikkerhedsmetrics. Dette sker for at sikre tjenestekvaliteten, generere brugsstatistik samt registrere og håndtere misbrug.

FLERE OPLYSNINGER **OK**


Follow Me

[Twitter](#)


[YouTube](#)

Videos

Episode 1:



Episode 2:



Dette website anvender cookies fra Google til at levere sine tjenester og analysere trafik. Din IP-adresse og brugeragent deles med Google sammen med effektivitets- og sikkerhedsmetrics. Dette sker for at sikre tjenestekvaliteten, generere brugsstatistik samt registrere og håndtere misbrug.

[FLERE OPLYSNINGER](#) [OK](#)



Episode 4:



Episode 5:



Dette website anvender cookies fra Google til at levere sine tjenester og analysere trafik. Din IP-adresse og brugeragent deles med Google sammen med effektivitets- og sikkerhedsmetrics. Dette sker for at sikre tjenestekvaliteten, generere brugsstatistik samt registrere og håndtere misbrug.

[FLERE OPLYSNINGER](#) [OK](#)



Episode 7:



Episode 8:



Dette website anvender cookies fra Google til at levere sine tjenester og analysere trafik. Din IP-adresse og brugeragent deles med Google sammen med effektivitets- og sikkerhedsmetrics. Dette sker for at sikre tjenestekvaliteten, generere brugsstatistik samt registrere og håndtere misbrug.

[FLERE OPLYSNINGER](#) [OK](#)

CASE #558

Playwright Render

SOURCE URL

<https://mindsublime.blogspot.com/2020/01/advanced-aerospace-threat-and.html>

DOMAIN

mindsublime.blogspot.com

CASE ID

#558 of 924

CONTEXT FROM ORIGINAL DOCUMENT

uclear-weapons-storage-area

Note: Former SSCI Staff Director and former Deputy Assistant Secretary of Defense for Intelligence Christopher K. Mellon published a slideshow from the Advanced Aerospace Threat Identification Program (AATIP) while it was run out of the OUSD(I&S) on his website. The ninth slide describes AATIP's DoD Threat Scenario, which states: "the science exists for an enemy of the United States to manipulate both physical and cognitive environments in order to penetrate U.S. facilities, influence decision makers, and compromise national security...DoD controls several facilities where activities have been detected." It is unknown if the 1998 Nellis AFB encounter is one example AATIP was referring to, but it seems probable similar events are driving that statement.

-