



Article PDF Available

Toward an Interstellar Mission: Zeroing in on the Zero-Point-Field Inertia Resonance

September 1999

DOI:10.1063/1.1290904

Authors:



Bernhard Haisch



Alfonso Rueda

California State University, Long Beach

Download full-text PDF

Download citation

Copy link



Citations (3)

References (31)

Abstract

While still an admittedly remote possibility, the concept of an interstellar mission has become a legitimate topic for scientific discussion as evidenced by several recent NASA activities and programs. One approach is to extrapolate present-day technologies by orders of magnitude; the other is to find new regimes in physics and to search for possible new laws of physics. Recent work on the zero-point field (ZPF), or electromagnetic quantum vacuum, is promising in regard to the latter, especially concerning the possibility that the inertia of matter may, at least in part, be attributed to interaction between the quarks and electrons in matter and the ZPF. A NASA-funded study (independent of the BPP program) of this concept has been underway since 1996 at the Lockheed Martin Advanced Technology Center in Palo Alto and the California State University at Long Beach. We report on a new development resulting from this effort: that for the specific case of the electron, a resonance for the inertia-generating process at the Compton frequency would simultaneously explain both the inertial mass of the electron and the de Broglie wavelength of a moving electron as first measured by Davisson and Germer in 1927. This line of investigation is leading to very suggestive connections between electrodynamics, inertia, gravitation and the wave nature of matter. Comment: Space Technology and Applications International Forum (STAIF-2000) Conference on Enabling Technology and Required Developments for Interstellar Missions, 7 pages, no figs

ResearchGate

Discover the world's research

- 25+ million members
- 160+ million publication pages
- 2.3+ billion citations

[Join for free](#)

Public Full-text (1)

Content uploaded by [Alfonso Rueda](#) Author content

Content may be subject to copyright.

Your privacy choices

We and our partners store and access non-sensitive information from your device, like cookies, and process personal data, like IP addresses and unique identifiers to personalize content and ads, measure performance, and analyze audiences.

By clicking **Accept**, you consent to this data collection and processing by us and [our 228 partners](#). You can select **Reject** to continue with only strictly necessary cookies or **Customize** to manage your preferences. Some processing of your personal data may not require your consent, but you have a right to object to such processing. You can withdraw your consent at any time from the consent preferences link in the footer of any ResearchGate page. For more information, see our [Privacy Policy](#).

[Customize](#)[Reject](#)[Accept](#)

Abstract. While still an admittedly remote possibility, the concept of an interstellar mission has become a legitimate topic for scientific discussion as evidenced by several recent NASA activities and programs. One approach is to extrapolate present-day technologies by orders of magnitude; the other is to find new regimes in physics and to search for possible new laws of physics. Recent work on the zero-point field (ZPF), or electromagnetic quantum vacuum, is promising in regard to the latter, especially concerning the possibility that the inertia of matter may, at least in part, be attributed to interaction between the quarks and electrons in matter and the ZPF. A NASA-funded study (independent of the BPP program) of this concept has been underway since 1996 at the Lockheed Martin Advanced Technology Center in Palo Alto and the California State University at Long Beach. We report on a new development resulting from this effort: that for the specific case of the electron, a resonance for the inertia-generating process at the Compton frequency would simultaneously explain both the inertial mass of the electron and the de Broglie wavelength of a moving electron as first measured by Davisson and Germer in 1927. This line of investigation is leading to very suggestive connections between electrodynamics, inertia, gravitation and the wave nature of matter.

BACKGROUND

Although at this time there are no known or plausible technologies which would make interstellar travel possible, the concept of an interstellar mission has recently started to become a legitimate topic for scientific discussion. In July 1996 NASA formally established a Breakthrough Propulsion Physics (BPP) Program. The initiation of a program operating under the auspices of NASA provides a forum for ideas which are required to be both *visionary* and *credible*. This approach was designed by program manager Marc Millis to provide a valuable and necessary filter for ideas and their proponents. The first BPP activity was a workshop held in August 1997 to carry out an initial survey of concepts; this is now available as a NASA conference proceedings (NASA/CP—1999-208694). Following additional funding, the BPP program issued a NASA Research Announcement (NRA) in November 1998 soliciting proposals. Out of 60 submissions, six studies were selected for funding.

Three other events relevant to interstellar exploration but not directly connected with the BPP program have also taken place. In February 1998, the Marshall Space Flight Center (MSFC) hosted a four-day workshop on “Physics for the Third Millennium” directed by Ron Koczor of the MSFC Space Science Laboratory. In July 1998 a four-day workshop on “Robotic Interstellar Exploration in the Next Century” sponsored by the Advanced Concepts Office at JPL and the NASA Office of Space Science was held at Caltech. In September 1998 an Independent Review Panel was convened to assess the NASA Space Transportation Research Program (STRP). The STRP supports such areas of investigation as fission- and fusion-based advanced propulsion and even a serious attempt to replicate a claimed “Delta-g Gravity Modification Experiment.” A report was issued in January 1999 entitled: “Ad Astra per Aspera: Reaching for the Stars.”

The report had the following to say about the Delta-g Gravity Modification Experiment:

An experimental demonstration of gravity modification, regardless of how minute, would be of extraordinary significance. The Delta-g experiments now being carried out at MSFC are an attempt to duplicate a claimed anomalous weight loss of up to two percent in objects of various compositions suspended above a rotating 12-inch diameter Type II ceramic superconductor. This apparent phenomenon was discovered by accident during superconducting experiments by a Russian scientist, Dr. Evgeny Podkletnov, then working at Tampere University in Finland. Initial results of

Your privacy choices

We and our partners store and access non-sensitive information from your device, like cookies, and process personal data, like IP addresses and unique identifiers to personalize content and ads, measure performance, and analyze audiences.

By clicking **Accept**, you consent to this data collection and processing by us and [our 228 partners](#). You can select **Reject** to continue with only strictly necessary cookies or **Customize** to manage your preferences. Some processing of your personal data may not require your consent, but you have a right to object to such processing. You can withdraw your consent at any time from the consent preferences link in the footer of any ResearchGate page. For more information, see our [Privacy Policy](#).

km-diameter sail and a 10 km-diameter lens having an open-loop pointing capability of 10^{-5} arcsec (given a feedback time of years): quite a formidable challenge!

Another “known technology pushed to the limit” example is based on production and storage of huge amounts of anti-matter. This makes a good example of overwhelming technical difficulties in pushing present-day technology. Based on energy arguments alone (i.e. ignoring the issue of specific impulse) one can achieve a speed of $0.1c$ by annihilating 0.5 percent of the mass of a starship; this is simply calculated by equating the final kinetic energy of the starship, $m_s v^2/2$, where $v = 0.1c$, to the rest energy of the propellant, $m_p c^2$, a good approximation in this regime. It would take an equal amount of energy to stop, and similarly for the return to Earth. Under perfectly ideal conditions then, one percent of the mass of the starship in antimatter and one percent in ordinary matter would, in principle, suffice as propellant. One hundred percent efficiency for conversion of rest mass energy into kinetic energy is out of the question. Let us take an optimistic 10 percent. This means that for a starship as modest as the space shuttle in size — about 100 tons, hardly adequate for a century-long out and back mission to Alpha Centauri — one would require 10 tons of antimatter.

The manufacture of antiprotons is extremely inefficient. Techniques for creating antiprotons at CERN require approximately two and one-half million protons each accelerated to an energy of 26 GeV to create a single antiproton. This amounts to an energy efficiency of 3×10^{-8} . This is further reduced by a factor of 20 or so for the efficiency of the proton accelerator, leaving a net efficiency of perhaps 1.5×10^{-9} , i.e. about one part in a billion! At a cost of 5 cents per kilowatt-hour of electricity the cost of 10 tons of antiprotons would be 1.4×10^{21} dollars. A good way to imagine this is to say that it represents the total current U. S. federal budget (app. \$1.2 trillion per year) spent every year for the next 1.2 billion years (cf. M. R. LaPointe, NASA SBIR Phase I Final Report for contract NAS8-98109). Other technological extrapolations for propulsion discussed in the various workshops and reviews suffer from similar tremendous order-of-magnitude problems. Building a starship based on extrapolation of known technology might be likened to insisting on building some kind of sailing ship capable of crossing the Atlantic in 6 hours, when one really has to discover flight to do that.

The second approach is to try to find new regimes in physics or perhaps even new laws of physics. When Alcubierre (1994) published his article, “The Warp Drive: Hyper-fast Travel within General Relativity,” this aroused considerable enthusiasm since it demonstrated that, in principle, general relativity allowed for local metric modifications resulting in expansion of space faster than the speed-of-light. Indeed, as is well known in cosmology, there is no speed limit to the expansion of space itself; in conventional inflationary big bang theory there must be regions of the Universe beyond our event horizon whose Hubble speed (relative to us) is greater than c and this in no way conflicts with special relativity. Relativity merely forbids motion *through* space faster than c . Alcubierre demonstrated that the mathematics of general relativity allowed for

the creation of what might be termed a “bubble” of ordinary flat (Minkowski) space — in which a starship could be situated — that could surf, so to speak, at arbitrarily large speeds on a spacetime distortion, a faster than light stretching of spacetime; this would indeed be a warp drive. The Alcubierre bubble was soon burst, though, by Pfenning and Ford (1997) who showed that it would require more energy than that of the entire Universe to create the extremely warped space necessary. However recently Van den Broeck (1999) has shown that this energy requirement can be reduced by 32 orders of magnitude via a slight change in the Alcubierre geometry. While this still leaves us a long way from a feasible interstellar technology, warping space or creating wormholes are physics possibilities meriting further theoretical exploration.

Another regime of “new physics” is in actuality almost a century old. The concept of an electromagnetic zero-point field was developed by, among others, Planck, Einstein and Nernst. If an energetic sea of electromagnetic fluctuations comprising the electromagnetic quantum vacuum fills the Universe — for reasons discussed below — then this suggests the possibility of generating propulsive forces or extracting energy anywhere in space. Even more intriguing possibilities are opened by the linked proposed concepts that grav-

Your privacy choices

We and our partners store and access non-sensitive information from your device, like cookies, and process personal data, like IP addresses and unique identifiers to personalize content and ads, measure performance, and analyze audiences.

By clicking **Accept**, you consent to this data collection and processing by us and [our 228 partners](#). You can select **Reject** to continue with only strictly necessary cookies or **Customize** to manage your preferences. Some processing of your personal data may not require your consent, but you have a right to object to such processing. You can withdraw your consent at any time from the consent preferences link in the footer of any ResearchGate page. For more information, see our [Privacy Policy](#).

The concept of inertia modification may forever remain a *practical impossibility*. However at the moment it has become a legitimate *theoretical possibility*. In the following sections we summarize a recently developed theoretical connection between the ZPF and inertia and report on the discovery that a specific resonance frequency is likely to be involved. It is shown that such a resonance would simultaneously offer an explanation for both the inertia of a particle and the de Broglie wavelength of that particle in motion as first measured for electrons by Davisson and Germer (1927).

THE ELECTROMAGNETIC ZERO-POINT FIELD

The necessary existence of an electromagnetic zero-point field can be shown from consideration of elementary quantum mechanics. The Heisenberg uncertainty relation tells us that a harmonic oscillator must have a minimum energy of $\hbar\omega/2$, where \hbar is the Planck constant divided by 2π and ω is the oscillation frequency in units of radians per second. (Expressed in cycles per second, Hz, this minimum energy is $h\nu/2$.) This is the zero-point energy of a harmonic oscillator, the derivation of which is a standard example in many introductory quantum textbooks.

The electromagnetic field is subject to a similar quantization: this is done by “the association of a quantum-mechanical harmonic oscillator with each mode of the radiation field” (cf. Loudon 1983). The same $h\nu/2$ zero-point energy is found in each mode of the field, where a mode of the field can be thought of as a plane wave specified by its frequency (ν), directional propagation vector ($\hat{\mathbf{k}}$), and one of two polarization states (σ). Summing up over all plane waves one arrives at the zero-point energy density for the electromagnetic field,

$$\rho_{ZP}(\nu) = \int_0^{\nu_c} \frac{4\pi\hbar\nu^3}{c^3} d\nu, \quad (1)$$

where ν_c is a presumed high-frequency cutoff, often taken to be the Planck frequency, $\nu_P = (c^5/G\hbar)^{1/2} = 1.9 \times 10^{43}$ Hz. (See the appendix for a brief discussion of the Planck frequency). With this assumed cutoff, the energy density becomes the same (within a factor of $2\pi^2$) as the maximum energy density that spacetime

can sustain: with $\nu_c = \nu_P$, the ZPF energy density is $\rho_{ZP} = 2\pi^2 c^7 / G^2 \hbar$. This is on the order of 10^{116} ergs $\text{cm}^{-3} \text{s}^{-1}$. The term “ZPE” is often used to refer to this electromagnetic energy of the zero-point fluctuations of the quantum vacuum. Note that the strong and weak interactions also have associated zero-point energies. These should also contribute to inertia. Their exact contributions remain to be determined since we have yet to consider these in the present context. For now we restrict ourselves to the electromagnetic contribution.

Can one take seriously the concept that the entire Universe is filled with a background sea of electromagnetic zero-point energy that is nearly 100 orders of magnitude beyond the energy equivalent of ordinary matter density? The concept is inherently no more unreasonable in modern physics than that of the vast Dirac sea of negative energy anti-particles. Moreover the derivation of the zero-point energy from the Heisenberg uncertainty relation and the counting of modes is so elementary that it becomes convoluted to try to simply argue away the ZPF. The objection that most immediately arises is a cosmological one: that the enormous energy density of the ZPF should, by general relativity, curve the entire Universe into a ball orders of magnitude smaller than the nucleus of an atom. Our contention is that the ZPF plays a key role in giving rise to the inertia of matter. If that proves to be the case, the principle of equivalence will require that the ZPF be involved in giving rise to gravitation. This at least puts the spacetime-curvature objection in abeyance: in a self-consistent ZPF-based theory of inertia and gravitation one can no longer naively attribute a spacetime curving property to the energy density of the ZPF itself (Sakharov, 1968; Misner, Thorne and Wheeler, 1973; Puthoff, 1989; Haisch and Rueda, 1997; Puthoff, 1999).

One might try taking the position that the zero-point energy must be merely a mathematical artifact of theory. It is sometimes argued, for example, that the zero-point energy is merely equivalent to an arbitrary

Your privacy choices

We and our partners store and access non-sensitive information from your device, like cookies, and process personal data, like IP addresses and unique identifiers to personalize content and ads, measure performance, and analyze audiences.

By clicking **Accept**, you consent to this data collection and processing by us and [our 228 partners](#). You can select **Reject** to continue with only strictly necessary cookies or **Customize** to manage your preferences. Some processing of your personal data may not require your consent, but you have a right to object to such processing. You can withdraw your consent at any time from the consent preferences link in the footer of any ResearchGate page. For more information, see our [Privacy Policy](#).

interference.” In short, the cavity prevents an atom from emitting a photon because that photon would have interfered destructively with itself had it ever existed. But this begs a philosophical question: How can the photon “know,” even before being emitted, whether the cavity is the right or wrong size?

The answer is that spontaneous emission can be interpreted as stimulated emission by the ZPF, and that, as in the Casimir force experiments, ZPF modes can be suppressed, resulting in no vacuum-stimulated emission, and hence no “spontaneous” emission (McCrea, 1986).

The Casimir force attributable to the ZPF has now been well measured, the agreement between theory and experiment being approximately five percent over the measured range (Lamoreaux, 1997). Perhaps some variation on the Casimir cavity configuration of matter could one day be devised that will yield a different force on one side than on the other of some device, thus providing in effect a ZPF-sail for propulsion through interstellar space.

Independent of whether a propulsive force may be generated from the ZPF is the question of whether energy can be extracted from the ZPF. This was first considered — and found to be a possibility in theory — in a thought experiment published by Forward (1984). Subsequently Cole and Puthoff (1993) analyzed the thermodynamics of zero-point energy extraction in some detail and concluded that, in principle, no laws of thermodynamics are violated by this. There is the possibility that nature is already tapping zero-point energy in the form of very high energy cosmic rays and perhaps in the formation of the sheet and void structure of clusters of galaxies (Rueda, Haisch and Cole, 1995). Another very useful overview is the USAF

Report by Forward (1996) and also the discussion of force generation and energy extraction in Haisch and Rueda (1999).

THE INERTIA RESONANCE AND THE DE BROGLIE WAVELENGTH

Can the inertia of matter be modified? In 1994 we, together with H. Puthoff, published an analysis using the techniques of stochastic electrodynamics in which Newton’s equation of motion, $\mathbf{F} = m\mathbf{a}$, was *derived* from the electrodynamics of the ZPF (Haisch, Rueda and Puthoff [HRP], 1994). In this view the inertia of matter is reinterpreted as an electromagnetic reaction force. A NASA-funded research effort at Lockheed Martin in Palo Alto and California State University in Long Beach recently led to a new analysis that succeeded in deriving both the Newtonian equation of motion, $\mathbf{F} = m\mathbf{a}$, and the relativistic form of the equation of motion, $\mathcal{F} = d\mathcal{P}/d\tau$, from Maxwell’s equations as applied to the ZPF (Rueda & Haisch, 1998a; 1998b). This extension from classical to relativistic mechanics increases confidence in the validity of the hypothesis that the inertia of matter is indeed an effect originating in the ZPF of the quantum vacuum. Overviews of these concepts may be found in the previous STAIF proceedings and other conference proceedings (Haisch and Rueda, 1999; Haisch, Rueda and Puthoff, 1998; Haisch and Rueda, 1998; additional articles are posted or linked at <http://www.jse.com/haisch/zpf.html>).

In the HRP analysis of 1994 it appeared that the crucial interaction between the ZPF and the quarks and electrons constituting matter must be concentrated near the Planck frequency. As discussed in the previous section (and in the appendix), the Planck frequency is the highest possible frequency in nature and is the presumed cutoff of the ZPF spectrum: $\nu = (c^5/G\hbar)^{1/2} \sim 1.9 \times 10^{43}$ Hz. In contrast, the new approach involves the assumption that the crucial interaction between the quarks and electrons constituting matter and the ZPF takes place not at the ZPF cutoff, but at a resonance frequency. We have now found evidence that, for the electron, this resonance must be at its Compton frequency: $\nu = m_e c^2/h = 1.236 \times 10^{20}$ Hz. This is 23 orders of magnitude lower (hence possibly within reach of electromagnetic technology) than the Planck frequency.

In Rueda and Haisch (1998a, 1998b) we show that from the force associated with the non-zero ZPF mo-

Your privacy choices

We and our partners store and access non-sensitive information from your device, like cookies, and process personal data, like IP addresses and unique identifiers to personalize content and ads, measure performance, and analyze audiences.

By clicking **Accept**, you consent to this data collection and processing by us and [our 228 partners](#). You can select **Reject** to continue with only strictly necessary cookies or **Customize** to manage your preferences. Some processing of your personal data may not require your consent, but you have a right to object to such processing. You can withdraw your consent at any time from the consent preferences link in the footer of any ResearchGate page. For more information, see our [Privacy Policy](#).

As summarized by Hunter (1997): “. . . what we regard as the (inertial) mass of the particle is, according to de Broglie’s proposal, simply the vibrational energy (divided by c^2) of a localized oscillating field (most likely the electromagnetic field). From this standpoint inertial mass is not an elementary property of a particle, but rather a property derived from the localized oscillation of the (electromagnetic) field. De Broglie described this equivalence between mass and the energy of oscillational motion. . . as ‘une grande loi de la Nature’ (a great law of nature).” The rest mass m_0 is simply m_i in its rest frame. What de Broglie was proposing is that the left-hand side of eqn. (3) corresponds to physical reality; the right-hand side is in a sense bookkeeping, defining the concept of rest mass.

De Broglie assumed that his wave at the Compton frequency originates in the particle itself. An alternative interpretation is that a particle “is tuned to a wave originating in the high-frequency modes of the zero-point background field” (de la Peña and Cetto, 1996; Kracklauer, 1992). The de Broglie oscillation would thus be due to a resonant interaction with the ZPF, presumably the same resonance that is responsible for creating inertial mass as in eq. (2). In other words, the ZPF would be driving this ν_C oscillation.

5

We therefore suggest that an elementary charge driven to oscillate at the Compton frequency by the ZPF may be the physical basis of the $\eta(\nu)$ scattering parameter in eqn. (2). For the case of the electron, this would imply that $\eta(\nu)$ is a sharply-peaked resonance at the frequency, expressed in terms of energy, $h\nu = 512$ keV. The inertial mass of the electron would physically be the reaction force due to resonance scattering of the ZPF at that frequency.

This leads to a surprising corollary. It can be shown (Haisch and Rueda, 1999; de la Peña and Cetto, 1996; Kracklauer, 1992) that as viewed from a laboratory frame, the standing wave at the Compton frequency in the electron frame transforms into a traveling wave having the de Broglie wavelength, $\lambda_B = h/p$, for a moving electron. The wave nature of the moving electron appears to be basically due to Doppler shifts associated with its Einstein-de Broglie resonance frequency.

The identification of the resonance frequency with the Compton frequency would solve a fundamental mystery of quantum mechanics: Why does a moving particle exhibit a de Broglie wavelength of $\lambda = h/p$? It can be shown that if the electron acquires its mass because it is driven to oscillate at its Compton frequency by the ZPF, then when viewed from a moving frame, a beat frequency arises whose wavelength is precisely the de Broglie wavelength (Haisch & Rueda, 1999; de la Peña & Cetto, 1996). Thus within the context of the zero-point field inertia hypothesis we can simultaneously and suggestively explain both the origin of mass and the wave nature of matter as ZPF phenomena. Furthermore, the relative accessibility of the Compton frequency of the electron encourages us that an experiment to demonstrate mass modification of the electron by techniques of cavity quantum electrodynamics may soon be feasible.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We acknowledge support of NASA contract NASW-5050 for this work.

REFERENCES

- Alcubierre, M., “The Warp Drive: Hyper-fast Travel Within General Relativity,” *Class. Quantum Grav.*, **11**, L73 (1994).
- Cole, D.C. and Puthoff, H.E., “Extracting Energy and Heat from the Vacuum,” *Phys. Rev. E*, **48**, 1562 (1993).
- Davisson, C.J. and Germer, L.H., “Diffraction of Electrons by a Crystal of Nickel,” *Phys. Rev.*, **30**, 705 (1927).
- de la Peña, L. and Cetto, A.M., *The Quantum Dice: An Introduction to Stochastic Electrodynamics*, (Kluwer Acad. Publ.), (1996).

Your privacy choices

We and our partners store and access non-sensitive information from your device, like cookies, and process personal data, like IP addresses and unique identifiers to personalize content and ads, measure performance, and analyze audiences.

By clicking **Accept**, you consent to this data collection and processing by us and [our 228 partners](#). You can select **Reject** to continue with only strictly necessary cookies or **Customize** to manage your preferences. Some processing of your personal data may not require your consent, but you have a right to object to such processing. You can withdraw your consent at any time from the consent preferences link in the footer of any ResearchGate page. For more information, see our [Privacy Policy](#).

- A, **49**, 678 (1994).
 Haisch, B., Rueda, A. and Puthoff, H.E., “Advances in the Proposed Electromagnetic Zero-point-field Theory of Inertia.” AIAA 98-3143 (1998) xxx.lanl.gov/abs/physics/9807023
 Haroche, S. and Raimond, J.M., “Cavity Quantum Electrodynamics,” *Scientific American*, **268**, No. 4, 54 (1993)
 Hunter, G., “Electrons and photons as soliton waves,” in *The Present Status of the Quantum Theory of Light*, (S. Jeffers et al. eds.), (Kluwer Acad. Publ.), pp. 37–44 (1997).

- Kracklauer, A.F., “An Intuitive Paradigm for Quantum Mechanics.” *Physics Essays*, **5**, 226 (1992).
 Lamoreaux, S.K., “Demonstration of the Casimir Force in the 0.6 to 6 μm Range,” *Phys. Rev. Letters*, **78**, 5 (1997)
 Loudon, R., *The Quantum Theory of Light*, chap. 1, (Oxford: Clarendon Press) (1983).
 McCrea, W., “Time, Vacuum and Cosmos,” *Q. J. Royal Astr. Soc.*, **27**, 137 (1986).
 Misner, C.W., Thorne, K.S. and Wheeler, J.A., *Gravitation*, (Freeman and Co.), pp. 426–428 (1973).
 Pfenning, M.J. and Ford, L.H., “The Unphysical Nature of ‘Warp Drive’,” *Class. Quant. Grav.*, **14**, 1743–1751 (1997).
 Puthoff, H.E., “Gravity as a Zero-point-fluctuation Force,” *Phys. Rev. A*, **39**, 2333 (1989).
 Puthoff, H.E., “Polarizable-Vacuum (PV) Representation of General Relativity,” preprint, (1999). xxx.lanl.gov/abs/gr-qc/9909037
 Rueda, A. and Haisch, B., “Inertia as Reaction of the Vacuum to Accelerated Motion,” *Physics Lett. A*, **240**, 115 (1998a). xxx.lanl.gov/abs/physics/9802031
 Rueda, A. and Haisch, B., “Contribution to Inertial Mass by Reaction of the Vacuum to Accelerated Motion,” *Foundations of Physics*, **28**, 1057 (1998b). xxx.lanl.gov/abs/physics/9802030
 Rueda, A., Haisch, B. and Cole, D. C., “Vacuum Zero-Point Field Pressure Instability in Astrophysical Plasmas and the Formation of Cosmic Voids,” *Astrophys. J.*, **445**, 7 (1995).
 Sakharov, A.D., “Vacuum Quantum Fluctuations in Curved Space and the Theory of Gravitation,” *Sov. Phys.-Doklady* **12**, No. 11, 1040 (1968).
 Van den Broeck, C. “A ‘warp drive’ with Reasonable Total Energy Requirements,” preprint, (1999) xxx.lanl.gov/abs/gr-qc/9905084

APPENDIX: THE PLANCK FREQUENCY

The Planck frequency is assumed to be the highest frequency that spacetime itself can sustain. This can be understood from simple, semi-classical arguments by combining the constraints of relativity with those of quantum mechanics. In a circular orbit, the acceleration is v^2/r , which is obtained from a gravitational force per unit mass of Gm/r^2 . Letting $v \rightarrow c$, one obtains a maximum acceleration of $c^2/r = Gm/r^2$, from which one derives the Schwarzschild radius for a given mass: $r_S = Gm/c^2$. The Heisenberg uncertainty relation specifies that $\Delta x \Delta p \geq \hbar$, and letting $\Delta p \rightarrow mc$ one arrives at the Compton radius: $r_C = \hbar/mc$, which specifies the minimum quantum size for an object of mass m . Equating the minimum quantum size for an object of mass m with the Schwarzschild radius for that object one arrives at a mass: $m_P = (c\hbar/G)^{1/2}$ which is the Planck mass, i.e. 2.2×10^{-5} g. The Compton radius of the Planck mass is called the Planck length: $l_P = (G\hbar/c^3)^{1/2}$, i.e. 1.6×10^{-33} cm. One can think of this as follows: Due to the uncertainty relation, a Planck mass cannot be compressed into a volume smaller than the cube of the Planck length. A Planck mass, m_P , in a Planck volume, l_P^3 , is the maximum density of matter that can exist without being unstable to collapsing spacetime fluctuations: $\rho_{P,m} = c^5/G^2\hbar$ or as an energy density, $\rho_{P,e} = c^7/G^2\hbar$. The speed-of-light limit constrains the fastest oscillation that spacetime can sustain to be $\nu_P = c/l_P = (c^5/G\hbar)^{1/2}$, i.e. 1.9×10^{43} Hz.

Your privacy choices

We and our partners store and access non-sensitive information from your device, like cookies, and process personal data, like IP addresses and unique identifiers to personalize content and ads, measure performance, and analyze audiences.

By clicking **Accept**, you consent to this data collection and processing by us and [our 228 partners](#). You can select **Reject** to continue with only strictly necessary cookies or **Customize** to manage your preferences. Some processing of your personal data may not require your consent, but you have a right to object to such processing. You can withdraw your consent at any time from the consent preferences link in the footer of any ResearchGate page. For more information, see our [Privacy Policy](#).

Citations (3)

References (31)

... The type of helical trajectory and the associated charge or lack of charge determines which particle is produced. More details about the models presented below are provided in [Gauthier \(2006\)](#). ...


... A different though perhaps related approach to the generation of the electron's inertia and its zitterbewegung is described in [Haisch and Rueda \(2000\)](#) and in articles referenced therein. In that approach, where stochastic electrodynamics (SED) is used to describe the zero-point field (ZPF), the inertia of objects may result in part from the scattering of background zero-point radiation by these objects when they accelerate. ...

FTL Quantum Models of the Photon and the Electron

Article

Full-text available

Jan 2007

 Richard Gauthier

[View](#) [Show abstract](#)

... Several speakers at this conference have been pioneers championing the cause of an electromagnetic zero-point-field (EM ZPF) origin for inertia. Notable amongst these have been Haisch, Rueda and Puthoff [1][2][3][4][5][6][7][8][9][10][11]. Currently the implementations are classical, with a 'classicized' ZPF as conceived within the program of Stochastic Electrodynamics (SED), (see Kalitsin [12], Braffort [13] and Marshall [14] for the original works, and Boyer [15] for a review of this field). ...

A ZPF-Mediated Cosmological Origin of Electron Inertia

Article

Full-text available

Dec 2000

 Michael Ibson

[View](#) [Show abstract](#)



... Also, when studying the system of two charged point particles traveling side by side, Boyer (1978; proved that during acceleration the electromagnetic fields change in order that each particle will act with a new electromagnetic force on the other, exactly balancing the external force accelerating the system and which represent a change in the inertial mass of the system. Proposals to manipulate the electromagnetic quantum vacuum and/or its interaction with matter in order to modify inertial and gravitational forces have been advanced (Haisch, Rueda and Puthoff, 1994; [Haisch and Rueda, 2000](#); Puthoff, 1989;2002). Fig. 3 illustrates the action of external forces of several kinds producing an asymmetry in their electric field distribution in order that the sink of the flux lines are oriented to the acceleration vector. ...

The Connection Between Inertial Forces and the Vector Potential

Article

Full-text available

Nov 2006

 Alexandre A. Martins ·  Mario J. Pinheiro

[View](#) [Show abstract](#)

Your privacy choices

We and our partners store and access non-sensitive information from your device, like cookies, and process personal data, like IP addresses and unique identifiers to personalize content and ads, measure performance, and analyze audiences.

By clicking **Accept**, you consent to this data collection and processing by us and [our 228 partners](#). You can select **Reject** to continue with only strictly necessary cookies or **Customize** to manage your preferences. Some processing of your personal data may not require your consent, but you have a right to object to such processing. You can withdraw your consent at any time from the consent preferences link in the footer of any ResearchGate page. For more information, see our [Privacy Policy](#).

Article

Note on "Dynamics of Quantum Vorticity in a Random Potential"

August 2009

Chu Z. K. Hua

We make comments on Link's [Phys. Rev. Lett. 102, 131101 (2009)] paper. It seems to us that the role of vortex core was neglected by Link during his calculation. However, the vortex core is crucial to the interactions between the vortex and random lattices.

[Read more](#)

Article

Reply to the Comment on: The quantum vacuum as the origin of the speed of light

November 2013 · The European Physical Journal D

 Marcel Urban ·  Francois Couchot ·  Xavier Sarazin ·  Arache Djannati-Atai

We reply to the comment by Schiller on our paper "The quantum vacuum as the origin of the speed of light" [M. Urban et al., Eur. Phys. J. D 67, 58 (2013)]. We further detail our model and explain why the limits provided by ultra-stable optical cavities on the amplitude of fluctuations of the light speed do not apply to the predictions on group velocity that we gave in [M. Urban et al., Eur. Phys. ... [\[Show full abstract\]](#)

[Read more](#)

Article

A new boson-fermion model of superconductivity

October 2010

Tian De Cao

It is shown that the superconducting energy gap necessarily lead to the disappearance of some quasi-electrons, thus we suggest a new boson-fermion Hamiltonian to describe superconductivity. The new supercurrent equations are derived with this Hamiltonian. Some new results can be found besides the zero resistance effect, the Meissner effect and the magnetic flux quantum can be explained.

[Read more](#)

Article

Full-text available

Comment on "Röntgen Quantum Phase Shift: A Semiclassical Local Electrodynamical Effect?"

August 2005 · Physical Review Letters

 Suresh Tiwari

In this short note we amplify our comments on the paper PRL 95,010405 (2005). Comment: Expanded version of the comment submitted to PRL

[View full-text](#)

Your privacy choices

We and our partners store and access non-sensitive information from your device, like cookies, and process personal data, like IP addresses and unique identifiers to personalize content and ads, measure performance, and analyze audiences.

By clicking **Accept**, you consent to this data collection and processing by us and [our 228 partners](#). You can select **Reject** to continue with only strictly necessary cookies or **Customize** to manage your preferences. Some processing of your personal data may not require your consent, but you have a right to object to such processing. You can withdraw your consent at any time from the consent preferences link in the footer of any ResearchGate page. For more information, see our [Privacy Policy](#).

Your privacy choices

We and our partners store and access non-sensitive information from your device, like cookies, and process personal data, like IP addresses and unique identifiers to personalize content and ads, measure performance, and analyze audiences.

By clicking **Accept**, you consent to this data collection and processing by us and [our 228 partners](#). You can select **Reject** to continue with only strictly necessary cookies or **Customize** to manage your preferences. Some processing of your personal data may not require your consent, but you have a right to object to such processing. You can withdraw your consent at any time from the consent preferences link in the footer of any ResearchGate page. For more information, see our [Privacy Policy](#).

CASE #540

Playwright Render

SOURCE URL

https://www.researchgate.net/publication/2180514_Toward_an_Interstellar_Mission_Zeroing_in_

DOMAIN

www.researchgate.net

CASE ID

#540 of 924

CONTEXT FROM ORIGINAL DOCUMENT

21

-