

100

[illegible]

232

1. 1990年12月1日以前，
 2. 1990年12月1日以后，
 3. 1990年12月1日以后，

22/21.0 CST

2004-05-15

... ..

1. The first group of people who are not in the labor force are those who are not in the labor force because they are not in the labor force.

21.52.2 Z

$\frac{1}{2} \times \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{4}$

D. *intercedens* C. Guss.

1940

6342

[illegible]

5 Affirmative

2000

1. 1940-1941

possibly a result

1

Bluish-green object climbed at high speed, hovered, changed color to red and to blue. Seen by airmen from San Marcos AFB.

UNCLASSIFIED

22 JUL 1952 14 18 Z
1952 JUL 18 15 03

V. ATIA
2. ATIA
3. C. Files

AKU
1952 JUL 22
INFOR

ACTION

EC130

WPC076

TYD146

PP JEDWP ZOV JEP

TDB105

VD152

WVD030

WMX082

JWFSM 020

PP JEPHQ JEPWP JEDEN JEDST JWFGO 555

DE JWFSM 01

P 220820Z

FM CO SAN MARCOS AFB TEX

TO JEPHQ/ DIR AIR INTELLIGENCE HQ USAF WASH D C

JEPWP/ AIR TECH CENTR WRIGHT PATTERSON AFB DAYTON OHIO

INFO JEDEN/ CG ENT AFB COLORADO SPRINGS COLO

JEDST/ CG APRC SCOTT AFB ILL

JWFGO/ CG CONNALLY AFB WACO TEX

DOWNGRADED AT 3 YEAR INTERVALS
DECLASSIFIED AFTER 12 YEARS.
DOD DIR 5200.10

/ ~~REDACTED~~ SLYOBRTT OFJECT SEEN 2240 CENTRAL STANDARD

TIME 22 JULY SKY AND VISIBILITY CLRAR. NO METEROGICAL OBJECTS

NOTICED. SIGHTED BETWEEN MARTIN DALE AND MAXWELL TEX. FIRST

SEEN ALTITUDE 8000 FEET COLOR BLUISH GREEN. CLIMBED 14000 FEET

IN ARC VERY RAPID HOVERED THEN ~~REDACTED~~ AT ANGLE OF FORTY FIVE DEGREES

EXTREMELY FAST. COLOR WHILE DROPPING RED. HOVERED FOR ONE MINUTE

7-3719 -1

COLOR BLUE. FLEW SOUTH AT 5000 FEET. REPORTED BY FOUR AIR POLICE
OF THIS BASE AND A STAFF SARGEANT FROM AIR INSPECTORS OFFICE THIS
BASE

22/0915Z JUL JWFSM

Cy 1

RECEIVED

10:00

10:00

BB 00000 000 000

10:00

10:00

10:00

10:00

- A one-witness case. Even though observed by a fairly qualified witness it is very strange that there were no other witnesses since

MEMO FOR THE RECORD

9 July 1968

Subj: UFO Observation of 22 July 1952

I agree with Dr Hynek that the flight characteristics and duration as given certainly don't agree with those of a meteor. Possibly the evaluator had information that has since been lost. There is insufficient information now available for an analysis. To change the evaluation at this time, however, would cause an unwarranted amount of work in changing our records.

H. C. L. Moore